### DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## **ORIGINAL**

# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
	)
Amendment of Section 73.202(b),	)
Table of Allotments,	) MM Docket No. 00-148
FM Broadcast Stations.	) RM-9939
(Quanah, Archer City, Converse, Flatonia,	) RM-10198
Georgetown, Ingram, Keller, Knox City,	)
Lakeway, Lago Vista, Llano, McQueeney,	RECEIVED
Nolanville, San Antonio, Seymour, Waco and	)
Wellington, Texas, and Ardmore, Durant,	) JUL <b>1 2</b> 2004
Elk City, Healdton, Lawton and Purcell,	)
Oklahoma.)	Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

To: Office of the Secretary
Attn: The Commission

### **OPPOSITION TO "MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME"**

Rawhide Radio, L.L.C., Capstar TX Limited Partnership, Clear Channel Broadcasting Licenses, Inc., and CCB Texas Licenses, L.P.<sup>1</sup> (collectively, the "Joint Parties"), by their counsel, hereby oppose the *Motion for Extension of Time* ("Motion") filed by On the Air, Inc. ("On the Air") in the above captioned proceeding. The Commission should deny On the Air's *Motion* because it was untimely filed, and because On the Air has made no showing of exceptional circumstances for its grant. Further, there is nothing pending in this proceeding, including the Joint Parties' *Application for Review*, that implicates the construction permit referenced in the *Motion*. In support hereof, the Joint Parties state as follows:

1. The Joint Parties filed an Application for Review with the Commission on June 21, 2004. On the Air filed its Motion on July 2, 2004. However, pursuant to Section 1.46(b) of the Commission's Rules any motion for extension of time "shall be filed at least 7 days before

No. of Copies rec'd 0144 List ABCDE

321065\_1.DOC

CCB Texas Licenses, L.P., a subsidiary of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., is added as a party to this proceeding because it is the new licensee of KAJA, San Antonio and KHFI-FM, Georgetown, Texas.

the filing date." Under Section 1.115(d) of the Commission's Rules, any opposition to the Joint Parties' *Application for Review* had to be filed by July 6, 2004, which means that On the Air's *Motion* was due by June 29, 2004, not July 2, 2004.

- 2. On the Air attempts to argue that the press of other Commission business and the massive volume of the Joint Parties' Application for Review, "prevents counsel for On the Air from turning immediate and sufficient attention to analyzing and responding to the Application for Review." Motion at p. 2. While Section 1.46(b) of the Commission's Rules permits the Commission to consider late-filed motions for extension of time in emergency situations, On the Air did not provide any evidence that the Motion was filed late because of an emergency situation. Further, even assuming that the Motion was timely, On the Air makes no showing of exceptional circumstances for its grant. See Julian, California, 57 RR 2d 1325 (1985).
- 3. The volume of counsel's workload and the size of the Joint Parties' Application for Review are not emergency situations or exceptional circumstances. See, e.g. Access to Telecommunications Equipment and Services by Persons With Disabilities, 11 FCC Rcd 1814 (1996) (Commission grants extension because of government shutdown and weather emergency); Puerto Rico Telephone Company Equal Access Conversion Schedule, 4 FCC Rcd 7050 (1989) (Commission grants extension in light of the emergency situation in Puerto Rico created by Hurricane Hugo). Further, On the Air drastically overstates the volume of new material in this case. While the Joint Parties' Application for Review contained 347 pages, 325 of these pages were a draft petition for rule making, which is the same as the Joint Parties' alternative proposal that was originally filed as a counterproposal in this proceeding on October 10, 2000, with minor updates. The communities and allotments requested are exactly the same as those requested in the Joint Parties' October 10, 2000 counterproposal, which On the Air had ample opportunity to review during the nearly four years it was on file. Therefore, because On

the Air's *Motion* was filed three days late and because it presents no evidence of an emergency situation or exceptional circumstances, the *Motion* must be denied.

4. In addition to being late-filed, the *Motion* is moot because there is nothing pending in this proceeding, including the Joint Parties' *Application for Review*, that will have any affect on On the Air's construction permit for Station KICM(FM). The Joint Parties recognize that On the Air's construction permit for KICM(FM) is subject to the final outcome of this proceeding. *See* BPH-20031023ACQ. However, KICM(FM) is licensed to Healdton, Oklahoma, and the Healdton portion of this proceeding was not challenged on reconsideration and is not a subject of the Joint Parties' *Application for Review*. Further, pursuant to the Commission's Rules, time has expired for any challenge to the Healdton portion of this proceeding either at the Commission or the Court of Appeals. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.115. Therefore, On the Air should ask the Commission for appropriate relief rather than file an opposition.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated, the Joint Parties respectfully request that the Commission deny On the Air's Motion for Extension of Time.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWHIDE RADIO, LLC

Mail

Mark N. Lipp

J. Thomas Nolan Scott Woodworth

Vinson & Elkins, LLP

1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 639-6500

Its Counsel July 12, 2004

Bv:

CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

CCB TEXAS LICENSES, L.P.

CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING

LICENSES, INC.

By:

Gregory L. Masters

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

(202) 719-7370

Their Counsel

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lisa M. Holland, a Secretary in the law firm of Vinson & Elkins, do hereby certify that on this 12th day of July, 2004, I caused copies of the foregoing "Opposition" to be mailed, first class postage prepaid, or hand delivered, addressed to the following persons:

\*Robert Hayne, Esq.
Federal Communications Commission
Media Bureau
Audio Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 3-A262
Washington, D.C. 20554

Maurice Salsa 5615 Evergreen Valley Drive Kingwood, TX 77345

Dan J. Alpert, Esq.
Law Office of Dan J. Alpert
2120 North 21st Road
Suite 400
Arlington, VA 22201
(Counsel to M&M Broadcasters, Ltd. and J. & J. Fritz Media, Ltd.)
(Counsel to On the Air, Inc.)

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq. Law Office of Gene Bechtel, P.C. 1050 17th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (Counsel to Charles Crawford)

Harry F. Cole, Esq.
Fletcher Heald & Hildreth, PLC
1300 North 17th Street
11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22209
(Counsel to Elgin FM Limited Partnership)

Jeffrey D. Southmayd, Esq.
Southmayd & Miller
1220 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Counsel to The Sister Sherry Lynn Foundation, Inc.)

Arthur V. Belendiuk, Esq. Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 5028 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 301 Washington, D.C. 20016 (Counsel to Dilley Broadcasters)

Stargazer Broadcasting, Inc. c/o David P. Garland 1110 Hackney Houston, TX 77023

BK Radio c/o Bryan King 1809 Lightsey Road Austin, TX 78704

Katherine Pyeatt 6655 Aintree Circle Dallas, TX 75214

Lisa M. Holland

<sup>\*</sup> Hand Delivered